ARTHROSCOPIC ACROMIOPLASTY FOR TREATMENT OF SHOULDER IMPINGEMENT SYNDROME
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ABSTRACT

Background: The technique of arthroscopic subacromial decompression (ASD) is an effective alternative to the open operation. The arthroscopic procedure is technically demanding.

Patients and methods: Between January 2016 and February 2018, sixteen male patients, who fulfilled the criteria of the subacromial impingement syndrome, underwent arthroscopy, stability testing under anesthesia and arthroscopic acromioplasty. Pain was the chief complaint of every patient in this study. We used modified UCLA shoulder rating scale for clinical evaluation of the all patients. Pre-operative radiographs included an AP and axillary views added to 30° caudal tilt view or a supraspinatus outlet view were done routinely. MRI of the shoulder was done for all cases.

Results: Satisfactory results were achieved in all patients and 6 of them were excellent according to UCLA score. Eight patients had good results and two fair, but no poor results were found in this study up to one year postoperative. Pain relief was the most dramatic benefit but function also improved. The subacromial space of the patients was filled with hypertrophic fibrous bands of bursal stroma, often with fibro-fatty tissue. Hyperemia was often observed. Surface ulcerations were identified on the rotator cuff in 8 cases. Rotator cuff partial tear in 4 cases.

Conclusion: In cases of primary impingement, calcific tendinitis, or partial thickness rotator cuff tears the arthroscopic acromioplasty and decompression, is the procedure of choice provided that no shoulder instability. The right indications and skilled hands, the results are better than the results of open acromioplasty, as the patients recover faster with less risk of deltoid muscle complications.
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INTRODUCTION

Arthroscopic acromioplasty for the treatment of chronic impingement syndrome in the shoulder has been well documented 1-7. The arthroscopic technique was first performed in 1983 with a preliminary report, and a short-term follow-up study of 50 cases suggested that the technique of arthroscopic subacromial decompression (ASD) is an effective alternative to the open operation. The arthroscopic procedure is technically demanding 8-10.

When properly performed in patients with appropriate indications, hospitalization is brief, return to activities is rapid, there is little risk of deltoid muscle complications, and the results are lasting 11-13.

The arthroscope is introduced in the glenohumeral joint which is filled with saline to allow visualization. The subacromial space can also be viewed on screen and any inflamed subacromial bursa removed via a bursectomy. A high speed burr is deployed to trim the anterior inferior undersurface of the acromion to prevent impingement. The idea is not to shorten the acromion undersurface but to smoothen it by shaving off any bony spur irregularities. If necessary, the distal 1cm of the clavicle together with any surrounding osteophytes can be resected with the surgical burr. Over time, the space between the acromion and clavicle fills with scar tissue which strengthens and stabilizes the ACJ 14, 15. The aim of this prospective study is to evaluate the results of ASD in a group of 16 cases.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Between January 2016 and February 2018, sixteen male patients, who fulfilled the criteria of the subacromial impingement syndrome, underwent arthroscopy, stability testing under anesthesia and arthroscopic
acromioplasty in Zagazig university hospitals. The mean age of the patients was 32 years ranged from 25 to 40 years. Three of these patients had stage III impingement and were excluded; the remaining 16 patients had stage II impingement.

The dominant shoulder was involved in 12 patients. Follow-up was ranged from six months to one year. Night pain was a significant complaint in 14 of the patients. The mean duration of symptoms prior to surgery was 14 months (range 10-24 months). Two-thirds of these patients had crepitus about the shoulder during passive movements.

The clinical examination consisted of measuring the range of motion in flexion, abduction, and external and internal rotation. Muscle strength was tested manually and graded normal or decreased, and isometric pain provocations were done.

Pain was the chief complaint of every patient in this study. We used modified UCLA shoulder rating scale (Table 1) for clinical evaluation of the all patients 17. In this system, pain and function are each rated, independently, on a scale of 1 to 10, with 1 being the worst possible score, and 10 being awarded to a symptom-free shoulder. Range of motion, muscle strength, and patient satisfaction are also included, giving a maximum value of 5 points each. The maximum score on the UCLA scale would (35 points). Results were divided into excellent (34 to 35 points), good (28 to 33), fair (21 to 27), and poor (20 or less points). Good or excellent scores (> 28) were considered 'satisfactory', while fair or poor scores were 'unsatisfactory'. Conservative treatment was carried out in all patients for a minimum of six months (average one year) prior to surgery. This consisted of oral nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs in every patient who could tolerate them, local steroid injections in 10 patients, and physical therapy. All patients in this study had undergone prolonged physiotherapy prior to operation. No standard regime of conservative treatment had been employed. The average preoperative UCLA score was 20 points (16-24), indicating that the patient was 'restricted to light work.

The Neer (1983) impingement sign was present in all patients, and was a necessary physical finding for establishing the diagnosis. In clinical assessment of the patients, impingement test was performed on all patients using approximately 10 cc of 1% lignocaine injected directly into the subacromial space. Prompt and profound diminution of symptoms from the injection was also a prerequisite for establishing the diagnosis, which was then confirmed arthroscopically prior to decompression.
Radiology:

Pre-operative radiographs included an AP and axillary views added to 30° caudal tilt view or a supraspinatus outlet view were done routinely. MRI of the shoulder was done for all cases. A spur was seen on the undersurface of the anterior aspect of the acromion in 4 patients. Calcific deposits were seen in the rotator cuffs of 4 patients.

All the study patients had an MRI of the shoulder at baseline before randomization. The patients’ shoulders were scanned with an MRI at baseline. The acromial shape was evaluated according to Bigliani 18 as Type 1: straight or flat; Type 2: curved; or Type 3: hooked on sagittal MRI scans using at least two of the most lateral slices of the acromion. The tendons were evaluated for tendinosis and possible tears. The muscle volume quantity was estimated using a method developed by Lehtinen et al 2003 10, in which the muscle volume is calculated based on the area of two T1- weighted sagittal scans. The volume is also estimated by the Tangent sign method 16.

The fatty degeneration of the muscles was graded according to Goutallier method using T1-weighted sagittal MRI slices. The grading of fatty degeneration was divided into five steps: Stage 0 corresponds to a completely normal muscle, without any fatty streaks; in Stage 1 the muscle contains

---

**Table 1: UCLA Score.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. Name of instrument</th>
<th>UCLA Shoulder Rating Scale</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2. What it is designed to assess (specific anatomic area)</td>
<td>Shoulder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Method of administration (patient, clinician, or combined)</td>
<td>Combined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Cost involved in obtaining instrument</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Method of design</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Statistical validation</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Normative data available</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Disease-specific data available</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Scoring module</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. What kind of total and subscales do you get</td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Format and number of questions</td>
<td>Summated Rating scale, 5 questions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Time for administration</td>
<td>Not documented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Additional notes</td>
<td>Best score = 35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>There are two versions in the literature. Included version is more current version. Initially designed for total shoulder arthroplasty patients.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. The questionnaire</td>
<td>See page S140</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
some fatty streaks; in Stage 2 the fatty infiltration is clear but there is still more muscle than fat; in Stage 3 there is as much fat as muscle; and in Stage 4 more fat than muscle is present\textsuperscript{16}.

AC and glenohumeral degeneration were analyzed paying attention to cartilage thinning, joint space, sclerosis, osteophyte formation, subchondral cyst formation, and pathological joint effusion. Based on these findings, degeneration was staged according to the criteria published by Gahunia et al. into three stages: no/mild, moderate, and severe degeneration\textsuperscript{17}.

**Statistical analyses**

Data were analyzed using the IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 19.0 for analysis. Descriptive statistics are presented as percentages, frequencies, and means with SD or medians with quartiles. A \( p \)-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**Ethical considerations**

Cooperative patients fulfilling the criteria were asked to sign a written consent in which they voluntarily agreed to comply with the randomized treatment protocol and follow-up visits. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Hospital District (Kanta- Häme Central Hospital E9/2001, 11 April 2001).

**Operative technique:**

All arthroscopic decompressions were performed by experienced orthopedic surgeons in arthroscopy unit in our orthopedic department. An interscalenic or supraclavicular brachial plexus block was applied for regional anesthesia in 6 patients, and general anesthesia for the remaining 10 patients.

Bony landmarks were palpated and marked. Glenohumeral stability and passive range of motion were tested (Fig. 1).

---

**Figure 1**

Case no.3: A,B) preoperative photo shows limitation of abduction range due to impingement, C,D) MRI preoperative, E,F) operative position and landmarks, G) postoperative range of painless abduction 6 months postoperative.
The arthroscope was introduced into the joint through a standard posterior portal and a systematic recording of the articular cartilage, labrum and ligaments, biceps tendon, and the intra-articular rotator cuff was performed. The same standard portal was used to reach the subacromial space. Debridement and decompression were done through an anterolateral portal with a shaver and/or vaporizer. If the coracoacromial ligament felt tight or thick, it was released. Acromioplasty was performed starting anteriorly and progressing posterolaterally with a burr drill. The range of motion was tested under arthroscopic visualization to check for any local impingement.

**Postoperative phase**

The patients stayed at the hospital overnight. Postoperatively patients received IV analgesia and pain killer first day postoperative, then received anti-inflammatory analgesics, usually ibuprofen. A collar cuff was used for a week. Mobilization was allowed with free active movements, starting with gravity-assisted rotating movements. Sutures and tapes were removed after 14 days, after which the patients received similar training instructions as were provided for the exercise group. After this, these patients were also subjected to a similar physiotherapeutic treatment and training session schedule described above, and they started their active training progressively. The training program was likewise individually planned and progressive. It was started progressively once the postoperative pain had gradually diminished. As in the supervised exercise treatment group, the progress was evaluated during physiotherapy controls, which averaged six in number.

**RESULTS**

Satisfactory results were achieved in all patients and 6 of them were excellent according to UCLA score. Eight patients had good results and two fair, but no poor results were found in this study up to one year postoperative. Pain relief was the most dramatic benefit but function also improved. The mean pain improved from 3 preoperative to 8.6 postoperative. Fourteen of the sixteen shoulders became completely pain free (%). Postoperative function averaged 8.9 on the UCLA scale (compared with 5.2 before operation). This implies the ability to perform activities of daily living (including driving, shopping and dressing), and to work above shoulder level with only, on occasion, slight restrictions. Twelve patients reported no functional restrictions at all (%). Preoperative forward flexion (average 140°) improved to 160° postoperatively (range 125° to 180°). This change was statistically significant (p value: 0.05). All patients in this series returned to activities of daily living within 10 (7-14) days of their operation. Postoperatively, the patients had arm sling for 1 week, and they were encouraged to use the arm. The patients returned to their jobs in an average duration of 21-45 days according to nature of work. Those patients’ required repetitive overhead activities, especially strenuous lifting, usually took six weeks to 8 weeks. In this study there were all patients have returned to their regular job. No collegiate or professional athletes in this series.

No attempt was made to correlate the extent of surgical pathology with the postoperative result, but impingement was verified in each patient prior to operation. Arthroscopic findings:

The subacromial space of the patients was filled with hypertrophic fibrous bands of bursal stroma, often with fibro-fatty tissue. Hyperemia was often observed. Surface ulcerations were identified on the rotator cuff in 8 cases. Rotator cuff partial tear in 4 cases (Fig. 2).
Complications:

Early in the series, three patients complained of transient dysaesthesia in the distribution of the superficial branch of the radial nerve on the dorsum of the thumb. This probably resulted from inadequate padding of the traction device at the wrist. One patient experienced leaking from both the anterolateral and posterior portals but this ceased in one week with local wound care and antibiotic ointment. Another patient developed a local hematoma at the site of the anterior portal which resolved spontaneously. No ectopic bone formation has been seen in association with the bone fragments produced by mechanical burring. There has been no cases of deep infection. No compartment syndromes have developed, and distension has not involved the axillary area.

DISCUSSION

In 1985, Ellman [8] has devised the arthroscopic subacromial decompression (ASD) technique. His study performed a 1–3 year follow up on the pre and postoperative outcomes of 50 consecutive arthroscopic subacromial decompression (ASD) cases [9]. Eighty per cent of the cases had stage II impingement without rotator cuff tear and 20% had full-thickness rotator cuff tears. Patients were evaluated according to the UCLA shoulder rating scale which assesses pain, function, range of motion, strength and patient satisfaction [17]. The majority of the cases (88%) were rated satisfactory and the remainder (12%) unsatisfactory. Arthroscopic subacromial decompression is now the gold standard as arthroscopy allows direct visualization inside the glenohumeral joint to detect other pathologies and the option to treat on the spot [14]. For ASD to be successful, the diagnosis has to be a primary mechanical (extrinsic) impingement process. Arthroscopic subacromial decompression is usually indicated for young and active patients with stage II impingement syndrome who are eager to resume their daily or sporting activities. Older patients may also undergo ASD if a series of conservative measures fail to address the structural impingement. Complications of ASD include acromial fractures or insufficient acromion or osteophyte removal, necessitating a revision procedure [18-21]. The operation can be carried out either in the lateral decubitus position or beach-chair position. The latter being favored by surgeons as this not only reduces the risk of neurological injury [22], but also enables easy manipulation of the
humerus during surgery. In the procedure, three incisions or portals are created around the shoulder. The anterior portal is for saline inflow or outflow, posterior portal for camera viewing and lateral portal for instrumentation. Alternatively, two portals can also be created around the shoulder [23]. The anterior portal is used as an instrumentation portal and the posterior portal for the arthroscope [24].

The results of arthroscopic acromioplasty are usually good, with a satisfactory outcome in between 73% and 88% [8-14]. In this study, all patients had satisfactory results. According to UCLA score and 6 patients were excellent, eight patients had good results and two fair, but no poor results after one year postoperative. Pain relief was the most dramatic benefit but function also improved. The mean pain improved from 3 preoperative to 8.6 postoperative. Fourteen of the sixteen shoulders became completely pain free. Postoperative function averaged 8.9 on the UCLA scale (compared with 5.2 before operation). This implies the ability to perform activities of daily living (including driving, shopping and dressing), and to work above shoulder level with only, on occasion, slight restrictions.

Most studies of arthroscopic acromioplasty have concentrated on patients with stage II impingement. It seems probable that a long-standing tendinitis of this type can progress to fibrosis and thickening, which might explain the variable results in this group. An acromioplasty in such a patient is unlikely to be successful if the lesion is mainly in the tendon rather than the coracoacromial arch [25-27]. Patients with long-standing pain suggestive of impingement, who do not respond to conservative treatment, are candidates for operation. With the possible exception of magnetic resonance imaging, the state of the rotator cuff and grading of the lesion is difficult determine by noninvasive methods [26-28].

CONCLUSION

In cases of primary impingement, calcific tendinitis, or partial thickness rotator cuff tears the arthroscopic acromioplasty and decompression, is the procedure of choice provided that no shoulder instability. The right indications and skilled hands, the results are better than the results of open acromioplasty, as the patients recover faster with less risk of deltoid muscle complications.
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